arXiv:1512.00828v1 [math.MG] 2 Dec 2015

ON CHEEGER AND SOBOLEV DIFFERENTIALS IN METRIC MEASURE SPACES

MARTIN KELL

Abstract. Recently Gigli developed a Sobolev calculus on non-smooth spaces using module theory. In this paper it is shown that his theory fits nicely into the theory of differentiability spaces initiated by Cheeger, Keith and others. A relaxation procedure for L^p-valued subadditive functionals is presented and a relationship between the module generated by a functional and the one generated by its relaxation is given. In the framework of differentiability spaces, which includes so called PI- and $RCD(K,N)$-spaces, the Lipschitz module is pointwise finite dimensional. A general renorming theorem together with the characterization above shows that the Sobolev spaces of such spaces are reflexive.

In the recent years the analysis on metric measure spaces has made a lot of progress. Initiated by [Haj96, HK96] (see also [HK98, KM97]) a relaxed notion of gradients, more precisely the norm of a relaxed gradient, was defined. Together with abstract Poincaré and doubling conditions a theory of minimizers resembling harmonic functions was developed (see [BB11, HKST15]). Spaces satisfying those conditions are now called PI-spaces. Those ideas were also incorporated in Cheeger's generalized Rademacher theorem [Che99] where Cheeger proved existence of "chart functions" $((x_j : A_{i,n} \to \mathbb{R}^n)_{j=1}^n$ where $\{A_{i,n}\}$ is a Borel partition such that for any Lipschitz function f there are generalized Lipschitz differentials Df with $Df_{|A_{i,n}} : A_{i,n} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and for almost all $z_0 \in A_{i,n}$

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (Df(z))_j \cdot (x_j(z) - x_j(z_0)) + o(d(z, z_0)).$$

Later on, Keith [Kei04] noticed that a weaker condition, called Lip-lip-condition, is sufficient to obtain the same structure. Bate [Bat14] developed a dual formalism of this by constructing "sufficiently many tangent curves", which give a more precise characterization of the differentials.

On PI-spaces Cheeger also showed that his differentials could be used to obtain a Dirichlet form which allow for a natural definition of linear Laplace operator and thus PDE-like harmonic functions. Combined with a form of the Bakry-Émery condition those differentials were used to show Lipschitz continuity of harmonic functions [KRS03]. The same structure was also used in the L^p-theory to generalize result from the smooth setting to the metric setting.

Independently there was a need for a PDE-like theory in metric spaces. Using the theory of optimal transport it could be shown that lower bounds on the Ricci curvature are equivalent to convexities of entropy functionals in the space of probability measures equipped with a transportation distance [vRS05]. As it turns out the gradient flow of the entropy can be identified with the natural heat flow induced by the gradient structure [JKO98]. Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [AGS14a]

developed for metric spaces a sufficiently strong calculus via relaxed gradients to give such an identification. This was used in [AGS14b, EKS14] to show that on a subclass behaving like generalized Riemannian manifolds, the lower Ricci bound in terms of optimal transport are equivalent to the lower bounds based on the analytic condition of condition Bakry-Émery. In a different paper [AGS13] Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré showed that for not too bad metric spaces, their relaxed notion of gradient agrees with the weak upper gradient of Heinonen-Koskela-MacManus.

In a recent work [Gig14], Gigli developed a Sobolev calculus which resembles the one in the smooth setting. He first constructs L^p-integrable "1-forms" and assigns to each Lipschitz function a unique differential whose norm is given by the relaxed slope/gradient. Closedness of this assignment shows that any Sobolev function a unique (Sobolev) differential. Using those ideas he develops a (weak) second order calculus on Riemannian-like spaces with lower Ricci bounds.

In this paper, a precise description of the Sobolev differentials in terms of the Lipschitz differentials is presented. The main ingredient of Gigli's construction was the functional

$$f \mapsto \int |Df|_*^p d\mathbf{m}$$

which is a relaxation of

$$f \mapsto \int (\operatorname{lip} f)^p d\mathbf{m}.$$

We show that one may construct a Lipschitz module using the latter and regard the cotangent module as a relaxed version of the Lipschitz module. With the help of a general relaxation procedure is presented it can be shown that the cotangent module is a quotient space of the Lipschitz module and a submodule called the Lipschitz_0 module. This characterization immediately shows that on Lipschitz differentiable spaces the cotangent modules are locally finitely generated so that the Sobolev spaces are (super)reflexive. In PI-spaces which are infinitesimally Hilbertian one can even show that the Cheeger differential structure is just a certain representation (w.r.t. some basis) of the Sobolev differentials.

This characterization can be explained as follows: the linear operator assigning to each Lipschitz map its Lipschitz differential is in general not closable. Its closure assigns to each Sobolev map a whole affine subspace of "Lipschitz 1-forms". The relaxed slope is nothing but the distance of that affine subspace from the origin which shows that the norm of the Sobolev differentials is a quotient norm. Pointwise minimality of the relaxed slope shows that the same holds for the generated module. In principle, one obtains the Sobolev spaces characterization more directly by looking at the Pseudo-Sobolev space generated by Lipschitz functions and their Lipschitz differential. We present the module version as it translates directly into the language of Lipschitz differentiable structure.

The paper is structured as follows: In the first section normed modules are introduced and a representation theorem of locally finite dimensional modules is given. Afterwards it is shown how to obtain an L^p-normed module given an L^p-valued functional that behaves like a pointwise norm. The next section gives a general relaxation procedure and it is shown that a module and its relaxation can be characterized precisely if the module is weakly reflexive. The third section applies the abstract theory to Lipschitz and Sobolev functions. It is shown that on differentiability spaces the Lipschitz module is locally finite dimensional which shows that all Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(M, \mathbf{m})$ are reflexive. Then we present the rigidity

theorem of Lipschitz differential measures in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and some of its conclusion. In the end the relationship of Cheeger and Sobolev differentials is shown. The appendix contains an account on norms and a (unique) choice of scalar product.

Throughout the paper we have the following assumption: $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is a complete metric measure space with $\mathbf{m}$ a Radon measure.

1. Normed Modules

In this section the theory of $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-normed spaces is introduced. In the context of metric spaces $L^\infty(\mathbf{m})$-normed premodules appeared first in the work of Weaver [Wea00]. Later Gigli [Gig14] defined more general $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-normed modules to define generalized 1-forms and Sobolev differentials. We present Gigli's construction independently of metric spaces and give a more precise representation of locally finite dimensional modules. That representation can be seen as an abstract version of Cheeger renorming theorem yielding the Cheeger differentiable structure.

Definition 1.1 ($L^p(\mathbf{m})$-normed module)**.** A Banach space $(\mathcal{M}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}})$ is $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-*normed premodule* for $p \in [1, \infty]$ if there is a bilinear map $L^\infty(\mathbf{m}) \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$, $(f, v) \mapsto f \cdot v$ and a map $|\cdot| : \mathcal{M} \to L^p(\mathbf{m})$ such that for every $v \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f, g \in L^\infty(\mathbf{m})$

$$\begin{aligned} (fg) \cdot v &= f \cdot (g \cdot v) \\ \mathbf{1} \cdot v &= v \\ \|v\|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \||v|\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m})} \\ |f \cdot v| &= |f||v| \quad \mathbf{m}\text{-a.e.}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the L^∞-function which is 1 everywhere.

The premodule is called a $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-*normed module* if the additional two properties hold:

- (Locality) Assume for $v \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ it holds $\chi_{A_n} \cdot v = 0$ then $\chi_{\cup_n A_n} \cdot v = 0$.
- (Glueing) For every sequence $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and sequence of Borel sets $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\chi_{A_i \cap A_j} \cdot v_i = \chi_{A_i \cap A_j} \cdot v_j \qquad \text{and} \qquad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{A_i} \cdot v_i\|_{\mathcal{M}} < \infty$$

there is a $v \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\chi_{A_i} \cdot v = \chi_{A_i} \cdot v_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|v\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{A_i} \cdot v_i\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

A closed subspace $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be a submodule if it is also an $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-normed module. In particular, it needs to be stable under $L^\infty(\mathbf{m})$-multiplication and closed w.r.t. the locality and glueing constructions. In case $\mathcal{N}$ is a submodule we can equip the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N}$ with the following norm

$$\|[v]\|_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N}} = \inf_{w\in\mathcal{N}} \|v + w\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ has natural $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-normed module structure satisfying the locality and glueing principle (see [Gig14, Proposition 1.2.14]).

Example. (VECTOR-VALUED SPACES) The space $L^p(M, \mathbf{m}, \mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)$ of vector-valued L^p-functions such that

$$\|v\|_{L^p}^p = \int |v(x)|_x^p d\mathbf{m}$$

where is a measurable map $x \mapsto |\cdot|_x$ into the space of norms $\mathsf{Norm}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see appendix for properties of $\mathsf{Norm}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). In case $n = 0$ the spaces is just the trivial vector space.

(A-SUBMODULE) Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-normed module. For each measurable $A \subset M$ the A-submodule $\mathcal{M}_A$ is the submodule of all $v \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\chi_A \cdot v = v$, i.e. $\{v \neq 0\} \subset A$ (compare [Gig14, Proposition 1.4.6]). It is easy to see that this is also a natural $L^p(\mathbf{m}_{|A})$-normed module on A and that $v \mapsto \chi_A v$ is a distance non-increasing projection on the submodule. In case of vector-valued spaces this means one may unambiguously write $L^p(A, \mathbf{m}_{|A}, \mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|') \leq L^p(M, \mathbf{m}, \mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)$ if $|\cdot|'_x = |\cdot|_x$ for $\mathbf{m}$-almost all $x \in A$. Note that automatically $L^p(A, \mathbf{m}, \mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|') = \{0\}$ if $\mathbf{m}(A) = 0$.

(L^p-PRODUCTS) Assume $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are two $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-normed modules. Then the L^p-product $\mathcal{M}$ of the modules is an L^p-normed module, i.e. for $v = v_1 + v_2 \in \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ we set

$$|v|^p = |v_1|^p + |v_2|^p$$

for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $|v| = \max\{|v_1|, |v_2|\}$ if $p = \infty$.

One may take the L^p-product of countable many modules by requiring that $v = \sum_i v_i \in \mathcal{M}$ iff $|v|$ is well-defined, i.e. $(|v_i|) \in \ell^p$ $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere, and $|v|$ is in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$.

Lemma 1.2 (Module parition)**.** *Assume $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Borel partition of M. Then any L^p-normed module $\mathcal{M}$ is the L^p-product of the A_n-submodules.*

Proof. If $v \in \mathcal{M}$ then $v_n = \chi_{A_n} v \in \mathcal{M}_{A_n}$. Furthermore, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathcal{M}}^p &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{A_n} |v|^p d\mathbf{m} \\ &= \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \|v_n\|_{\mathcal{M}_{A_n}}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $x \mapsto (|v_n|_x)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^p$ for $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere. □

Lemma 1.3. *If $p \in (1, \infty)$ then any vector-valued L^p-space and any L^p-product of reflexive modules is reflexive.*

Remark. Actually the proof shows that any vector-valued L^p-space is super-reflexive. Furthermore, the L^p-product of finitely many modules is also super-reflexive if each factor was.

Proof. The fact that $L^p(M, \mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)$ is (super)reflexive follows from Theorem A.1. Indeed, if Φ denotes the John scalar product selector, then $x \mapsto |\cdot|' = \Phi(|\cdot|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is also measurable and $|\cdot|' \leq |\cdot| \leq n|\cdot|'$ for $\mathbf{m}$-almost all $x \in M$. Thus $L^p(M, \mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|')$ is also an L^p-normed module and one can show that it is p-uniformly smooth if $p \in (1, 2]$ and p-uniformly convex if $p \in [2, \infty)$. In particular, it is (super-)reflexive.

The second fact is well-known. Just note that the dual of an L^p-product is the L^q-product of the duals. □

In case of vector-valued L^p-spaces there is finite set of generators (pointwise) given by the standard basis times a positive $L^\infty \cap L^p$-integrable function.

We say that $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is *locally independent* on A if for all $x \in A$ the map $v \mapsto |v|_x$ is a norm for the space spanned $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. For L^p-normed modules this definition agrees with more general one defined in [Gig14, Definition 1.4.1] for general $L^\infty(\mathbf{m})$-modules.

Given that terminology one may wonder what the maximal number of locally independent sets is and what happens if it is bounded globally. As it turns out the vector-valued L^p-spaces are the building blocks of those modules.

Definition 1.4 (Local finite dimensional)**.** A module $\mathcal{M}$ is *locally finite dimensional* if there is a partition $\{E_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \cup \{E_\infty\}$ with $\mathbf{m}(E_\infty) = 0$ such that every maximal independent set $\{v_i\}$ on subsets E of E_n with $\mathbf{m}(\{v_i \neq 0\} \backslash E) = 0$ has cardinality n. For every $x \in E_n$ define the local dimension at x by $\dim(\mathcal{M}, x) = n$. The module is said to have *local finite dimension bounded* N if $\mathbf{m}(E_n) = 0$ for $n > N$, i.e. $\dim(\mathcal{M}, x) \leq n$ for $\mathbf{m}$-almost all $x \in M$.

By [Gig14, Proposition 1.4.5] the decomposition $\{E_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \cup \{E_\infty\}$ always exists with possibly $\mathbf{m}(E_\infty) > 0$.

Theorem 1.5 (Representation Theorem)**.** *Every locally finite dimensional L^p-normed module $\mathcal{M}$ is isometric to an infinite L^p-product of vector-valued L^p-spaces, i.s.*

$$\mathcal{M} \cong \oplus_{n=0}^\infty L^p(E_n, \mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|).$$

If the dimension is bounded by N then $\mathcal{M}$ admits a uniformly equivalent norm such that $x \mapsto |\cdot|_x'$ is almost everywhere induced by a scalar product. In particular, $\mathcal{M}$ is (super-)reflexive if $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Remark. (1) Reflexivity of any locally finite dimensional L^p-normed module was already shown by Gigli [Gig14, Theorem 1.4.7] without the vector-valued representation.

(2) In an abstract language this is exactly what Cheeger [Che99] did by constructing his Cheeger differential structure out of the Lipschitz differential structure. Later we will be more precise about that construction.

Proof. By the lemmas above it suffices to show that $\mathcal{M}_{E_n} \cong L^p(E_n, \mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)$.

For $n = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Assume $n > 0$ and choose a (module) basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ for $\mathcal{M}_{E_n}$ such that the span is closed in $\mathcal{M}$ (see [Gig14, Proposition 1.4.6]). If $v \in \mathcal{M}_{E_n}$ then there are L^∞-maps α_i such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i$ and $|v|_x = F_x(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ for a unique ($\mathbf{m}$-a.e.) norm F_x on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This proves that $v \mapsto \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{M}_{E_n}$ to $L^p(E_n, \mathbb{R}^n, F)$.

For the last part note that if $\mathbf{m}(E_n) = 0$ for $n \geq N$ then $L^p(E_n, \mathbb{R}^n, F) = 0$ for $n \geq N$ so that $|\cdot|' \leq F_x \leq N|\cdot|'$ for $\mathbf{m}$-almost all $x \in M$ where $|\cdot|'$ is the norm which is induced by the John scalar product if F_x, see proof of the lemma above and Theorem A.1. □

A general construction. In order to simplify the discussion on the relationship of the L^p-Lipschitz- and L^p-cotangent modules we present an abstract version of Gigli's cotangent module construction (see [Gig14, Section 2.2.1]).

Assume $p \in [1, \infty)$ and denote by $L^p_+(\mathbf{m})$ the set of non-negative L^p-integrable functions. Let $L^0(M)$ be the space of measurable functions. There is a function

$\mathcal{F}_p : D(\mathcal{F}_p) \to L^p_+(\mathbf{m}), f \mapsto g_f$ with $D(\mathcal{F}_p) \subset L^0(\mathbf{m})$ such that for all $f, h \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} g_{f+h} &\leq g_f + g_h \\ g_{\lambda f} &= |\lambda| g_f. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. A similar construction also works for $p = \infty$ the evaluation is then w.r.t. the L^∞-norm. Pfm (see below) is then defined whenever $\sup_i\{\|g_{f_i}\|\} < \infty$.

Define the set Pfm generated by $\mathcal{F}_p$ as follows

$$\mathsf{Pfm} := \Big\{ \{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \,|\quad (A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ is a Borel partition of } M \\ f_i \in D(\mathcal{F}_p), \text{ and } \textstyle\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} g^p_{f_i} dx < \infty \Big\}.$$

On Pfm define the equivalence relation $\{(f_i, A_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \sim \{(h_j, B_j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ if

$$g_{f_i - h_j} = 0 \quad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost every on } A_i \cap B_j.$$

Indeed, reflexivity follows from homogeneity, symmetry follows from the definition, and transitivity from subadditivity.

Now it is easy to verify that $\mathsf{Pfm}/\sim$ is a vector space such that

$$[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}] + [(h_j, B_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}] = [(f_i + h_j, A_i \cap B_j)_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}]$$

and

$$[(\lambda f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}] = \lambda [(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}].$$

Remark. Below we deal with multiple L^p-valued functional. In that case we may put an $\mathcal{F}_p$-index at equivalence relation $[\cdot]$ to avoid confusion.

If $a \in L^\infty(\mathbf{m})$ is a simple function, i.e. $a = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j \chi_{B_j}$ with $|a_j| \leq \|a\|_\infty$ and $(B_i)_i$ a Borel partition of M then

$$a[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}] = [(a_j f_i, A_i \cap B_j)_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}].$$

Also define for each $v = [(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}] \in \mathsf{Pfm}/\sim$ a measurable map $x \mapsto |v|_x$ by

$$|[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]| = g_{f_i} \quad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost every on } A_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The assignment $|\cdot|$ is a (pointwise) semi-norm on $\mathsf{Pfm}/\sim$ compatible with multiplication by simple $L^\infty(\mathbf{m})$-functions:

$$\begin{aligned} |[(f_i + h_j, A_i \cap B_j)_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}]| &\leq |[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]| + |[(h_j, B_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}]| \\ |\lambda[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]| &= |\lambda||[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]| \\ |a[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]| &= |h||[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is readily verified that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}_p} : \mathsf{Pfm}/\sim \to [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\|[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]\|_{\mathcal{F}_p} = \||[(f_i, A_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}]|\|_{L^p} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{A_i} g^p_{f_i} d\mathbf{m}$$

is a (possibly incomplete) norm on $\mathsf{Pfm}/\sim$. From the properties above one can show that the completion of Pfm will be an L^p-normed module.

Definition ($\mathcal{F}_p$-module)**.** The L^p-normed module $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}_p})$ is the completion of $(\mathsf{Pfm}/\sim, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}_p})$.

As the construction is essentially unique we just say $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{F}_p})$ is the $\mathcal{F}_p$-module. Also define the operator $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} : D(\mathcal{F}_p) \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$ by

$$d_{\mathcal{F}_p}(f) = [f, M].$$

Lemma 1.6. *Assume $\mathcal{F}_p^{'}$ and $\mathcal{F}_p$ are two functionals such that $D(\mathcal{F}_p^{'}) \subset D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_p^{'}(f) = \mathcal{F}_p(f)$ for all $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p^{'})$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p^{'}}$ can be uniquely identified with a submodule of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$.*

Corollary 1.7. *Under the above assumptions. If for every $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ there is a sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset D(\mathcal{F}_p^{'})$ such that $f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ and $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n \to d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f$ in $\mathcal{M}$ then $D(\mathcal{F}_p^{'})$ generates $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$.*

Proof. The lemma is a consequence of the construction: As $\|[f, A]_{\mathcal{F}_p^{'}}\|_{\mathcal{F}_p^{'}} = \|[f, A]_{\mathcal{F}_p}\|_{\mathcal{F}_p}$ for all $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p^{'})$ the assignment

$$i : [f, A]_{\mathcal{F}_p^{'}} \mapsto [f, A]_{\mathcal{F}_p}$$

for $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ is an isometric embedding which extends uniquely to the module $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p^{'}}$ implying that its images $i(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p^{'}})$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$.

To see the corollary, just note $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n \to d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f$ also implies $\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n \to \chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f = [f, A]_{\mathcal{F}_p}$. Thus the generating set $\{[f, A]_{\mathcal{F}_p} \mid f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)\}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p^{'}}$ which shows that the two modules agree. □

2. Relaxed functionals and their modules

In this section we present a general relaxation procedure of subadditive L^p-valued functionals which fits into the framework of generalized gradients. Furthermore, we give a representation of the modules generated by functional and its relaxation. The construction here is more general and could be simplified if we look at the local Lipschitz constants (see next section). However, some of the results might be of interest in other contexts.

In the following assume $p \in (1, \infty)$ which implies reflexivity of $L^p(\mathbf{m})$.

A relaxation procedure. The idea of the relaxation procedure is get a version of the construction in [AGS14a, Section 4]. In particular, we are interested in a "pointwise" minimality property of the relaxation. This is known to hold for the (minimal) relaxed slope (or equivalently the minimal weak upper gradient).

The technique presented here shares similarities with the localization method in the theory of Γ-convergence. As we are only looking at L^p-valued functional we obtain a very precise description of the lower relaxation via Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.8.

Remark. The choice of $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ is not essential. Any topological vector space satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 2.3 will do. For sake of naming let's call this property *topological Mazur property*. Any locally convex topological vector space, satisfies this property, in particular, any Banach and Frechet space.

Let $\mathcal{F}_p : D(\mathcal{F}_p) \to L^p_+(\mathbf{m})$ be subadditive and absolutely homogenous, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_p(f+g) &\leq \mathcal{F}_p(f) + \mathcal{F}_p(g) \\ \mathcal{F}_p(\lambda f) &\leq |\lambda| \mathcal{F}_p(f) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We extend $\mathcal{F}_p$ outside of $D(\mathcal{F}_p) \subset L^0(\mathbf{m})$ by setting it to ∞. In general $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ is not dense and the functional $E\mathcal{F}_p$ not lower semicontinuous where

$$E\mathcal{F}_p(f) = \begin{cases} \int g_f^p d\mathbf{m} & f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define the following lower semi-continuous functional

$$E\check{\mathcal{F}}_p(f) = \inf\{\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int g_{f_n}^p d\mathbf{m} \,|\, D(\mathcal{F}) \ni f_n \to f \text{ in } L^0(\mathbf{m})\}.$$

This functional is called the lower semicontinuous hull of $E\mathcal{F}_p$. Let $D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p)$ be the set of all $f \in L^0(\mathbf{m})$ with $E\check{\mathcal{F}}_p(f) < \infty$. We immediately obtain the following characterization of the hull.

Lemma 2.1. *If $E : L^0(\mathbf{m}) \to [0,\infty]$ is lower semicontinuous with $E(f) \le D\mathcal{F}_p(f)$ then $E(f) \le E\check{\mathcal{F}}_p(f)$. If, in addition, for every $f \in D(E)$ there is a sequence $D(\mathcal{F}_p) \ni f_n \to f$ with $E(f) = \lim_{n\to 0} \|g_f\|_{L^p}^p$ then $E(f) = E\check{\mathcal{F}}_p(f)$.*

The following theorem shows that $E\check{\mathcal{F}}_p$ admits an L^p-valued functional $\check{\mathcal{F}}_p f \mapsto \check{g}_f$ such that $E\check{\mathcal{F}}(f) = \|\check{g}_f\|_{L^p}^p$ hence justifying the notation.

Proposition 2.2 (Relaxed representation)**.** *For any $f \in D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p)$ there is a unique $\check{\mathcal{F}}_p(f) = \check{g}_f \in L^p(\mathbf{m})$ and a sequence $D(\mathcal{F}_p) \in f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ such that $g_{f_n} \to \check{g}_f$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ with $E\check{\mathcal{F}}_p(f) = \|\check{g}_f\|_{L^p}^p$ Furthermore, the assignment $f \mapsto \check{g}_f$ is subadditive and absolutely homogenous.*

To prove this we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If $f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ then for sequence (g_n) which is a (finite) convex combination of $\{f_k\}_{k\ge n}$ also converges to f in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{m}(E) < \infty$. By Egorov's Theorem for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a measurable set E_ϵ such that $\mathbf{m}(E\backslash E_\epsilon)$ and $f_n \to f$ uniformly on E_ϵ. In particular, for all $\delta > 0$ there is an n_δ such that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \le \delta$ for all $n \ge n_\delta$ and $x \in E_\epsilon$. Therefore, for all (finite) convex combinations of $\{f_k\}_{k\ge n_\epsilon}$ it holds

$$|\sum_{k\ge n_\delta} \alpha_k f_k(x) - f(x)| \le \sum_{k\ge n_\delta} \alpha_k |f_k(x) - f(x)| \le \delta.$$

But this implies $g_n = \sum_{k\ge n_\delta} \alpha_k f_k(x)$ converges uniformly to f on E_ϵ for all ϵ. Thus $g_n \to g$ $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere on E which shows that $h_n \to h$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$.

[Proof of the Proposition]First let $f_n \to f$ with $E\check{\mathcal{F}}_p(f) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int g_{f_n}^p d\mathbf{m} < \infty$. Then one may replace $(g_{f_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with a subsequence and assume $(g_{f_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ to some g. Mazur's Lemma implies that there is a sequence $g_n = \sum_{i=n}^{N(n)} \alpha_i^n g_{f_i}$ strongly converging in L^p to g. By subadditivity of $f \mapsto g_f$ we also have $g_{h_n} \le g_n$ for $h_n = \sum_{i=n}^{N(n)} \alpha_i^n f_i$. The previous lemma shows $h_n \to f$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} E\check{\mathcal{F}}(f) &\le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int g_{h_n}^p d\mathbf{m} \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \int g_{h_n}^p d\mathbf{m} \\ &\le \lim_{n\to\infty} \int g_{f_n}^p d\mathbf{m} = E\check{\mathcal{F}}(f) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|g_{f_n}\|_{L^p} \to \|g\|_{L^p}$ and therefore $g_{f_n} \to g$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. We claim that g is unique.

So assume for some sequences $f_n, f_n^{'} \to f$ it holds $g_{f_n} \to g$ and $g_{f_n'} \to g'$ with $D\check{\mathcal{F}}(f) = \|g\|_{L^p}^p = \|g'\|_{L^p}^p$. Then for $h_n = \frac{f_n + f_n^{'}}{2}$ we have $g_{h_n} \leq \frac{1}{2}(g_{f_n} + g_{f_n'})$ so that uniform convexity of the L^p-norm implies $g = g'$ which implies uniqueness. □

Taking the hull of function that is lower semicontinuous on its domain just increases increases its domain to the maximal possible one. By the strong approximation of $\check{g}_f$ we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.4. *If $E\mathcal{F}_p$ is lower semicontinuous on $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ then $g_f = \check{g}_f$ for all $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$. In case $E\mathcal{F}_p$ is everywhere lower semicontinuous then $D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p) = D(\mathcal{F}_p)$.*

An equivalent characterization of $\check{g}_f$ can be given as follows (compare [AGS14a, Definition 4.1]): We say that G is an *upper relaxation* at f if there is a sequence $f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ with $g_{f_n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{G}$ weakly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ and $\tilde{G} \leq G$ $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere. Denote the set of upper relaxations at f by $\check{G}_f$. One can show that the set of upper relaxations at f is convex and closed. If it is non-empty then uniform convexity of the L^p-norm implies that there is a unique element of minimal norm. By the proposition above this is given as the strong limit $\check{g}_f$ of (g_{f_n}) of a sequence $D(\mathcal{F}_p) \ni f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$. We call $\check{g}_f$ the *minimal upper relaxation*.

Proposition 2.2 can be generalized as follows.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ with $f_n \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ and $g_{f_n} \rightharpoonup g$ weakly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. Then there is a sequence $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ converging in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ to f such that $g_{h_n} \to g' \leq g$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. Furthermore, g' is unique and $g_{h_n'} \to g'$ for any finite convex combination $h_n^{'}$ of $\{h_k\}_{k\geq n}$.*

Remark. The uniqueness is w.r.t. the the sequence f_n. It may happen that $g_{f_n^1}, g_{f_n^2} \rightharpoonup g$ with $g_1^{'} \neq g_2^{'}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}_n \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $I \in \mathcal{I}_n$ iff $|I| < \infty$ and $\min I \geq n$. Note that $\mathcal{I}_n$ is countable and $\mathcal{I}_n \supset \mathcal{I}_{n'}$ for $n \leq n'$. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{(\alpha_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \,|\, \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum \alpha_i = 1, \alpha_i \neq 0, \exists I \subset \mathcal{I}_n : \alpha_i \neq 0 \Rightarrow i \in I\}$$

and set

$$M = \inf\{\liminf_{n\to 0} \int g_{h_n}^p \, d\mathbf{m} \,|\, h_n = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_i^n f_n \text{ for some } (\alpha_i^n)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{A}_n\}.$$

Note that g_{h_n} is well-defined by subadditivity and homogeneity.

The definition of M yields a sequence $(\alpha_i^n)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that

$$M = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int g_{h_n}^p \, d\mathbf{m}.$$

In particular, $(g_{h_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ so after choosing a subsequence and relabeling assume $g_{h_n} \rightharpoonup g'$ weakly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. We claim $M = \|g'\|_{L^p}^p$. Indeed, this claim would yield that $g_{h_n} \to g'$ strongly so that h_n is the required sequence. The proof below will also show that any finite convex combination of the tails of $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ has the same property.

Let $(\beta_i^n)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ be given by Mazur's Lemma applied to (g_{h_n}), i.e. $\sum_i \beta_i^n g_{h_i} \to g'$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. Then there is a sequence $(\alpha_i^n)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ such that $\sum_i \beta_i^n h_i = \sum \alpha_i^n f_n = \tilde{h}_n$ and by subadditivity

$$g_{\tilde{h_n}} \leq \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_i^n g_{h_i}.$$

Putting those facts together and use convexity of $r \mapsto |r|^p$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} M &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int g_{\tilde{h}_n}^p \, d\mathbf{m} \\ &\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \int \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (\beta_i^n g_{h_i})^p d\mathbf{m} \\ &\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_i^n \int g_{h_i}^p d\mathbf{m} = M. \end{aligned}$$

As $\lim_{n\to\infty} \int \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (\beta_i^n g_{h_i})^p d\mathbf{m} = \int (g')^p d\mathbf{m}$ we proved the claim. Observe that the proof also shows that for any $g_{h_n'}$ with $h_n^{'}$ being a finite convex combination of $\{h_k\}_{k\geq n}$ converges strongly to g' as well.

It remains to show uniqueness. Assume $g_1^{'}$ and $g_2^{'}$ are obtained by some sequences $(h_n^1)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(h_n^2)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. By subadditivity $g_{h_n^3} \leq g_{h_n^1} + g_{h_n^2}$ where $h_n^3 = \frac{1}{2}(h_n^1 + h_n^2)$. Then any weak accumulation point $g_3^{'}$ of $(g_{h_n^3})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ must satisfy $g_3^{'} \leq \frac{1}{2}(g_1^{'} + g_2^{'})$. An argument as above shows $(g_{h_n^3})$ converges strongly to $g_3^{'}$ with $\|g_3^{'}\|_{L^p}^p = M$. But $\|g_1^{'}\| = \|g_2^{'}\| = M$ so that strict convexity of the L^p-norm we show $g_1^{'} = g_2^{'} = g_3^{'}$. □

As it turns out the set of g' obtained via the lemma are essential for the characterization of relaxed modules defined below.

Definition 2.6 (strong upper relaxation)**.** Let $\check{G}_f^s$ be the set of $G \in \check{G}_f$ such that there is a sequence $f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ so that $g_{h_n} \to G$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ for every finite convex combination h_n of $\{f_k\}_{k\geq n}$.

By the previous lemma $\check{G}_f^s$ is non-empty whenever $\check{G}_f$ is as $\check{g}_f \in \check{G}_f^s$. Furthermore, every $G \in \check{G}_f$ admits a strong relaxation G' with $G' \leq G$.

Remark. One may think of g_f as the norm of some linear assignment to a vectors like the gradient/differential of f. If an upper relaxation represents the norm of a vector obtained via a closure procedure of that assignment then it needs to be invariant under taking limits of finite convex combinations of tail of the limiting construction. In particular, their norm needs to satisfy this property. Hence the only upper relaxations which can satisfy this property are strong upper relaxations.

A priori it is not clear whether $f_n \to f$ with $\check{g}_{f_n} \rightharpoonup G$ implies $\check{g}_f \leq G$ $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere. This would be true if g_f is the local Lipschitz constant and the metric measure space is locally finite (see below). As the codomain of $\mathcal{F}_p$ is $L^p(\mathbf{m})$, those functions enjoys certain local properties, like integrability and convergence w.r.t. subsets. An appropriate relaxation procedure should take this into account. However, the relaxation procedure above only requires uniform convexity of the L^p-norm and is therefore "only" a relaxation of $D\mathcal{F}_p$. In the following we give an alternative construction which satisfies this property and fits nicely into the module framework.

Let $\hat{G}_f$ be the set of all $G \in L^p(\mathbf{m})$ such that the following holds: Whenever $f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ and $g_{f_n} \rightharpoonup \tilde{G}$ weakly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ then $G \leq \tilde{G}$. It is easy to see that $\hat{G}_f$ is a closed convex set and if $G_1, G_1 \in \hat{G}_f$ then also $\max\{G_1, G_2\} \in \hat{G}_f$. Furthermore, the set is always non-empty as it contains the zero element. It is bounded if the defining condition is non-trivial, i.e. there is a sequence $f_n \to f$ with (g_{f_n}) bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$.

If $\hat{G}_f$ is bounded we claim that it has a unique element of maximal L^p-norm which is given

$$\hat{g}_f = \max_{G \in \hat{G}_f} G.$$

To see that $\hat{g}$ is measurable and thus well-defined let G_n be a sequence such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|G_n\|_{L^p} = \sup_{G\in\hat{G}_f} \|G\|_{L^p}$. Replacing G_n by $\tilde{G}_n = \max_{i=1}^n G_i$ we may assume $G_n \leq G_{n+1}$. By the monotone convergence theorem $G(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} G_n(x)$ is measurable and

$$\sup_{G'\in\hat{G}_f} \|G'\|_{L^p}^p = \lim \int G_n^p d\mathbf{m} = \int G^p d\mathbf{m}.$$

Assume there is $\tilde{G} \in \hat{G}_f$ such that $\tilde{G} > G$ on some set of positive measure. Then

$$\int \max\{G, \tilde{G}\}^p d\mathbf{m} > \int G^p d\mathbf{m}$$

which contradicts the definition of G. Thus $\hat{g}_f = G$. Note that we only required $f \in D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p)$.

We call $\hat{g}_f$ the *lower relaxation* $\mathcal{F}_p$ at $f \in D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p)$. For notational purposes also write set $D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p) = D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p(f) = \hat{g}_f$.

From the characterization of the $\hat{g}_f$ and $\check{g}_f$ we obtain the following (compare AGS-Sect 4).

Corollary 2.7. *The lower relaxation equals a.e. the pointwise minimum of all upper relaxations at f. Therefore, if whenever $g_{f_n} \rightharpoonup G$ it holds $\check{g}_f \leq G$, then $\hat{g}_f = \check{g}_f$.*

Remark. A sufficient condition for the above is that for any Borel set B and upper relaxations G, G' at f also $\chi_B G + \chi_{M\setminus B} G'$ is an upper relaxation at f.

Obviously $f \mapsto \hat{g}_f$ is absolutely homogenous. To see subadditivity note that it holds

$$g_{f_n+h_n} \leq g_{f_n} + g_{h_n}.$$

If now (g_{f_n}, g_{h_n}) weakly to (G_1, G_2) then any weak limit G_3 of $g_{f_n+h_n}$ must satisfy $G_3 \leq G_1 + G_2$.

From the definition we also see that

$$E\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p(f) = \begin{cases} \int \hat{g}_f^p d\mathbf{m} & f \in D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is lower semicontinuous and $\hat{g}_{f_n} \rightharpoonup g$ weakly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ with $f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ and $\|g\|_{L^p}^p = E\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p(f)$ implies $\hat{g}_{f_n} \to g$ strongly and $g = \hat{g}_f$.

We conclude with local characterization of $\hat{g}_f$.

Theorem 2.8 (Local approximation)**.** *For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $f \in D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p)$ there is a Borel partition $\{A_n\} \cup A_\infty$ with $\mathbf{m}(A_\infty) = 0$ and sequence (G_n) of (strong) upper relaxations at f such that*

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \chi_{A_n} G_n - f_\epsilon \leq \hat{g}_f$$

where f_ϵ is some non-negative L^p-integrable function with $\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^p}, \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq \epsilon$. If $\hat{g}_f = \check{g}_f$ we can simply choose $A_1 = M$,$G_1 = \check{g}_f$ and $f_\epsilon \equiv 0$.

Proof. Let $\{G_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable dense set of $\check{G}_f$. Then as above let $\tilde{G}_n = \max_{k=1}^n G_n$ so that $\tilde{G}_n \geq \tilde{G}_{n+1}$. (Note $\tilde{G}_n \in \check{G}_f$ would yield $\hat{g}_f = \check{g}_f$). From the definition $G = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{G}_n$ is measurable. As $G^p \leq G_1^p$ with $G_1^p \in L^1(\mathbf{m})$, the Dominated Convergence Theorem implies

$$\int G^p d\mathbf{m} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int \tilde{G}_n^p d\mathbf{m}$$

and also $\tilde{G}_n \to G$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$.

It remains to show that $G \leq G'$ for any $G' \in \check{G}_f$. Suppose by contradiction there is a set of positive measure A and a $\delta > 0$ with $G \geq G' + \delta$. Since $\{G_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is dense in $\check{G}_f$ we may find $G_n \to G'$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. In particular, there is a subset $A' \subset A$ such that $(G_n)_{|A'} - G'_{|A'} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ on $x \in A'$. However, we have $G_n \geq G$ so that

$$\delta \leq G_{|A'} - G'_{|A'} \leq (G_n)_{|A'} - G'_{|A'} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

which is a contradiction. This shows $\hat{g}_f = G$.

The proof is finished by a standard approximation procedure: Let $A_0 \subset M$ be a set of positive finite measure. As $\tilde{G}_n \to \hat{g}_f$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ we can also assume it converges $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere on A_0. Then Egorov's Theorem implies that for each $\delta > 0$ and ϵ there is a $A_1 \subset A_0$ and n_ϵ such that $\mathbf{m}(A_0 \backslash A_1) < \delta\mathbf{m}(A)$ and $\chi_{A_1}(\tilde{G}_{n_\epsilon} - \hat{g}_f) \leq \epsilon$. But then there is a finite Borel partition $\{A_{1,k}\}_k$ of A_1 and indices $\{n_{1,k}\}$ such that $\chi_{A_{1,k}}(G_{n_{1,k}} - \hat{g})$. In particular, the result holds on A_1. W.l.o.g. we may replace each $G_{n_{1,k}}$ with its strong upper relaxation obtain from Lemma 2.3.

Repeating this argument we obtain a sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $A_{n+1} \subset A_0 \backslash A_n$, partitions $\{A_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^{k_n}$ and indices $\{n_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^{k_n}$. Such that the above holds on $\cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n$. Note that the construction shows that $\mathbf{m}(A_0 \backslash \cup A_n) = 0$ and $A_n \cap A_{n'} = \varnothing$ for $n \neq n'$ so that $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1} \cup \{A_\infty\}$ is the require partition.

If $\mathbf{m}(M) < \infty$ we are done. For the non-finite σ-finite case let $\{A^{(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Borel partition of M with $1 \leq \mathbf{m}(A^{(k)}) < \infty$. On $A^{(k)}$ choose $\epsilon_k^p = \epsilon^p 2^{-(k+1)} \mathbf{m}(A^{(k)})^{-1}$ and set $f_\epsilon = \sum \chi_{A^{(k)}} \epsilon_k$. Then $\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^p} = \epsilon \geq f_\epsilon$. The result is now obtained by collecting the countable number of partitions and indices. □

Characterization of the relaxed module. Given $\mathcal{F}_p$ as above let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p$ be its lower relaxation. Since it is also subadditive and absolutely homogenous it induces the module $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p}$ which we call the relaxed module of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$. Using Theorem 2.8 we show that there is a general relationship between $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$ and its relaxed module.

Recall $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f = [f, M]_{\mathcal{F}_p}$. We will look at the following set

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p} \,|\, \exists f_n \in D(\mathcal{F}_p) : f_n \to 0 \text{ in } L^0, d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f \to \omega \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}\}.$$

One may verify that $\mathcal{G}_0$ is a closed subspace space of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$. In the following denote by $\mathcal{M}$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0$, the module $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$, its relaxed module $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p}$ and resp. the submodule generated by $\mathcal{G}_0$.

In general, it is not clear whether $\mathcal{G}_0$ contains more than one element. However, if $\mathcal{G}_0$ is trivial the operator $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} : D(\mathcal{F}_p) \to \mathcal{M}$ is closable as a (partially defined) linear operator from L^0 to $\mathcal{M}$ (compare also to [Sch14, Proposition 4.26]). In general, closability may not yield lower semicontinuity of $E\mathcal{F}_p$. As it turns out it is possible to characterize the relationship of the three modules if $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies a weak form of reflexivity. First some technical results.

Lemma 2.9 (closedness)**.** *Assume $D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p) \ni f_n \to f$ and $d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f_n \to \omega \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}$ then $f \in D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p)$ and $\omega = d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f$.*

Proof. The proof is just the abstract version of [Gig14, Theorem 2.2.9]: The assumptions imply $\hat{g}_{f_n} \to |\omega|$ in L^p. As $E\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p$ is lower semicontinuous we must have $f \in D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p)$. Again by lower semicontinuity we have

$$\|d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p}(f - f_n)\|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}} = \int g^p_{f-f_n} d\mathbf{m} \leq \liminf_{m\to\infty} \|d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p}(f_m - f_n)\|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}}.$$

However, $d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f_n$ is Cauchy in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ so that taking the lim sup as $n \to 0$, the right hand side converges to zero. But this means $d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f_n \to d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f$, i.e. $d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f = \omega$. □

Lemma 2.10 (Mazur variant)**.** *If $D(\mathcal{F}) \ni f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ and $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n \rightharpoonup \omega$ weakly in $\mathcal{M}$ then there is a sequence $h_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ such that $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} h_n \to \omega$ strongly and h_n is a finite convex combination of $\{f_k\}_{k\geq n}$. A similar result holds for $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0$.*

Proof. By Mazur's lemma ω is a strong limit a sequence ω_n which is a finite convex combination of $\{d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_k\}_{k\geq n}$. As $f \mapsto d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f$ is linear $\omega_n = [h_n, M]$ where h_n is a finite convex combination of $\{f_k\}_{k\geq n}$. Lemma 2.3 shows that $h_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ proving the claim of this lemma. □

The following form of reflexivity will be needed.

Definition 2.11 (weakly reflexive module)**.** We say $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly reflexive if any bounded sequence $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n \in \mathcal{M}$ with $D(\mathcal{F}_p) \ni f_n \to f$ admits a weakly convergent subsequence.

Question 2.12. *Is a weakly reflexive L^p-normed module reflexive?*

Remark. This property and the question already appeared in [Gig14, Proposition 2.2.10, Remark 2.2.11]. A possible argument could go as follows: For a Borel set A define

$$\mathcal{G}_A = \mathrm{cl}_{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}}\{\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f \,|\, f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)\}$$

Let $\{B_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a generating set of the Borel σ-algebra then denote by $\{A^n_i\}_{i=1}^{N_n}$ the partition generated by $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N_n} \mathcal{G}_{A^n_i}$$

is reflexive if each $\mathcal{G}_{A^n_i}$ is. There are natural embeddings$\mathcal{M}_n \to \mathcal{M}_m$ for $n \leq m$, so that $\mathcal{M}$ is a direct limit of $(\mathcal{M}_n)$. So the question is answered to the positive if $\mathcal{G}_A$ is

reflexive for each A and any direct limit of reflexive Banach spaces is itself reflexive. The difficulty in showing that $\mathcal{G}_A$ is reflexive lies in the fact that $(d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ might be unbounded for some bounded sequence $(\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

The next lemma shows that weak reflexivity implies that the strong upper gradients are represented by an element in $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 2.13. *Assume $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly reflexive. Then for any strong upper relaxation $G \in \check{G}^s_f$ there exist a $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$ with $|\omega| = G$ which is a limit of a sequence $(d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $f_n \to f$. If, in addition, $f \in D(\mathcal{F})$ then $(\omega - d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f) \in \mathcal{G}_0$.*

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be as in the definition of strong upper relaxation, i.e. $g_{h_n} \to G$ strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ where h_n is finite convex combination of $\{f_k\}_{k\geq n}$.

As this also holds for $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ we see that $(d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{M}$. Weak reflexivity shows there is subsequence $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_{n'} \rightharpoonup \omega$. Applying the lemma above to $(f_{n'})$ and ω gives a sequence $(h_n) \subset D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ with $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} h_n \to \omega$ and $g_{h_n} \to |\omega|$. But then $|\omega| = G$. □

The converse of that lemma is also true. If $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n \to \omega$ and $f_n \to f$ then $|\omega|$ is a strong upper relaxations at f. This implies an exact characterization of $\mathcal{G}_0$ in terms of strong upper relaxations.

Corollary 2.14. *Assume $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly reflexive. Then $d_{\mathcal{F}_p}$ is closable iff there is at most one strong upper relaxation at 0. Or equivalently, $|\check{G}^s_0| = 1$ iff $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{0\}$.*

Without reflexivity one can show at least the if-direction, see Theorem 3.9 below.

Theorem 2.15 (Relaxed representation)**.** *Assume $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly reflexive. Then*

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}' \cong \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{M}_0$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{M}}'$ is the submodule of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ generated by $D(\mathcal{F}_p) \subset D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p)$. In particular, for each $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$

$$\|d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f\|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}} = \inf_{\omega\in\mathcal{M}_0} \|d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \omega\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Remark. If the upper and lower relaxations at f agree then the right hand side is equal to $\inf_{\omega\in\mathcal{G}_0} \|d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \omega\|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proof. It suffices to show

$$\|\chi_A d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f\|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}} = \inf_{\omega\in\mathcal{M}^0} \|\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \omega\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \inf_{\omega\in\mathcal{M}^0} \|\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \chi_A\omega\|_{\mathcal{M}}$$

for all $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ and Borel set $A \subset M$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all $\omega = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \chi_{A_i}\omega_i \in \mathcal{M}_0$ with $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ a Borel partition of M and $\omega_i \in G_0$. The set $\mathcal{P}$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}^0$ so that we only need to show the above for $\mathcal{P}$ instead of $\mathcal{M}_0$.

From the definition of $\mathcal{G}_0$ there are $f_{i,n} \to 0$ with $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_{i,n} \to \omega_i$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Then also $d_{\mathcal{F}_p}(f + f_{i,n}) \to \omega_{(i)} = d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \omega_i$ so that $g_{f+f_{i,n}} \to |\omega_{(i)}|$ in L^p. But then $\hat{g}_f \leq |\omega_{(i)}|$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\chi_A d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f\|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}} &= \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{A\cap A_i} \hat{g}_f d\mathbf{m} \\
&\leq \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{A\cap A_i} |\omega_{(i)}|^p d\mathbf{m} \\
&= \|\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \chi_A\omega\|^p_{\mathcal{M}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\omega_\epsilon \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\|\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \omega_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \|\chi_A d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f\|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}} + \epsilon.$$

Applying Theorem 2.8 to f we get a partition $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and strong upper relaxations $G_n \in \check{G}^s_f$ such that

$$|\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \chi_{A_n} G_n - \hat{g}_f| \leq f_\epsilon$$

with $\|f_\epsilon\|_{L^p} = \epsilon$. In particular,

$$\|\sum \chi_{A\cap A_n} G_n\|_{L^p} \leq \epsilon + \|\chi_A \hat{g}_f\|_{L^p} = \epsilon + \|\chi_A d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f\|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}}.$$

It remains to show that $\|\chi_A \sum \chi_{A_n} G_n\|_{L^p} = \|\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \omega_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ for some $\omega_\epsilon \in \mathcal{P}$.

The lemma above shows that for each G_n there is a $\omega_n \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $|\omega_n| = G_n$ and $\omega_n - d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Setting

$$\omega_\epsilon = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \chi_{A_n}(\omega_n - d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f) \in \mathcal{P}.$$

shows

$$\|\chi_A d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \omega_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \|\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \chi_{A\cap A_n}\omega_n\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \|\sum \chi_{A\cap A_n} G_n\|_{L^p}$$

which proves the claim and thus the theorem. In case $\hat{g}_f = \check{g}_f$ one may choose $G_1 = \hat{g}_f$ and $A_1 = M$ and $\omega_\epsilon \in \mathcal{M}$ given by the lemma above is independent of $\epsilon > 0$. □

Lemma 2.16. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly reflexive and the upper and lower relaxations agree then $\hat{\mathcal{M}}'$ is weakly reflexive.*

Proof. From proof above there is a sequence $(f_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ converging to f such that $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_m \to \omega \in \mathcal{M}$ and

$$\|d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f\|_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}} = \|d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f + \omega\|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ converging in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ to some $f \in D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p)$ such that $(d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. We may represent $d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f_n$ by $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n + \omega_n$ for some $\omega_n \in \mathcal{G}_0$. It suffices to show that $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n + \omega_n$ admits a weakly convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{M}$.

For each f_n there are sequences $(f_{n,m})_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ converging to f_n with $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_{n,m} \to d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_n + \omega_n$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and $(d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_{n,m})_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Thus we may choose a diagonal sequence $g_n = f_{n,m_n}$ such that $g_n \to f$ and if for some subsequence $(g_{n'})$ it holds $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} g_{n'} \rightharpoonup \omega$ then $d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_{n'} + \omega_{n'} \rightharpoonup \omega$. To conclude notice that $(d_{\mathcal{F}_p} g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ being a subsequence of $(d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f_{n,m})_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and admits a weakly convergent subsequence by weak reflexivity of $\mathcal{M}$. □

Corollary 2.17. *Assume $\mathcal{M}$ is reflexive or $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly reflexive and the lower and upper relaxations agree. Then $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ generates $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$. In particular,*

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} \cong {}^{\mathcal{M}}/_{\mathcal{M}_0}$$

and $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ is weakly reflexive.

Proof. In both cases $\hat{\mathcal{M}}'$ is weakly reflexive. Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ converging in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ to some $f \in D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p)$ such that $(d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}'$. Such a sequence exists as $D(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p) = D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p)$. Reflexivity and Lemma 2.10 show that there are finite convex combinations h_n of $\{f_k\}_{k\geq n}$ such that $h_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ and $d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} h_n \to \omega \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}'$. However, $d_{\mathcal{F}_p}$ is closed so that $\omega = d_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}_p} f$. We conclude using Lemma 1.6. □

A similar argument also shows the following vector space characterization. We only sketch the argument and leave the details to the reader. Define

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{cl}_{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}}}\{d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f \in \mathcal{M} \,|\, f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)\}$$

and

$$\check{\mathcal{G}} = \mathrm{cl}_{\|\cdot\|_{\check{\mathcal{M}}}}\{d_{\check{\mathcal{F}}_p} f \in \mathcal{M} \,|\, f \in D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p)\}$$

where $\check{\mathcal{F}}_p$ is the upper relaxation of $\mathcal{F}_p$ and $\check{\mathcal{M}}$ its induced module.

Remark. All three sets $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{G}_0$ and $\check{\mathcal{G}}$ only require the functional $E\mathcal{F}_p$ and its lower semicontinuous envelope $E\check{\mathcal{F}}_p$. An explicit description of the L^p-densities is not needed. The norm is then given by $(E\mathcal{F}_p(\cdot))^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Proposition 2.18. *If $\mathcal{G}$ is reflexive, i.e. $\mathcal{M}$ is weakly reflexive, then the following holds*

$$\check{\mathcal{G}} \cong \mathcal{G} \Big/ \mathcal{G}_0$$

and $d_{\check{\mathcal{F}}_p} : D(\mathcal{F}_p) \to \check{\mathcal{G}}$ is closable such that its closure is given by $d_{\check{\mathcal{F}}_p} : D(\check{\mathcal{F}}_p) \to \check{\mathcal{G}}$.

One may see this as part of a more general result: Assume $A : D \to W$ is a linear (unbounded) operator defined on some subset D of a topological vector space V with topological Mazur property. Assume W is a reflexive Banach space and let G be the closure of the graph of A in $V \times W$. Define W_0 to be the set of all $w \in W$ with $(0, w) \in G$. It is easy to see that W_0 is a closed subspace of W. Denote by $i : W \to {}^{W}\!/_{W_0}$ the quotient map. Then there is a uniquely defined closed linear operator $\check{A} : \bar{D} \to {}^{W}\!/_{W_0}$ such that $D \subset \bar{D}$ and $\check{A}v = i(Av)$ for $v \in D$.

3. Lipschitz- and L^p-modules

In this section we will use the above construction to obtain several cotangent modules which will help to understand the analytic structure of a metric space better. Assume again that $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Lipschitz and Cheeger energies. The *local Lipschitz constant* of a (local) Lipschitz function f, also called slope of f, is defined as

$$\mathrm{lip}\, f(x) = \limsup_{y\to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(y, x)}.$$

It can be shown that $x \mapsto \mathrm{lip}\, f(x)$ is a measureable map and if $\mathbf{m}$ has full support then the *global Lipschitz constant*

$$\mathrm{Lip}\, f = \sup_{x,y\in M} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(y, x)}$$

is given by

$$\|\mathrm{lip}\, f\|_{L^\infty} = \mathrm{Lip}\, f.$$

From the definition it is also easy to see that

$$\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}} : f \mapsto \mathrm{lip}\, f$$

is subadditive and absolutely homogeneous. So denote by $D(\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}})$ the set of all $f \in \mathrm{Lip}(M,d)$ with $\mathrm{lip}\, f \in L^p(\mathbf{m})$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_p$ be the lower relaxation of $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}}$. Denote lower relaxation at f by $|Df|_{*,p}$ and called it the *relaxed slope*.

Definition 3.1 (locally finite)**.** The measure $\mathbf{m}$ is said to be *locally finite* if for each $x \in M$ there is an $r_x > 0$ such that $\mathbf{m}(B_{r_x}(x)) < \infty$.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume* $\mathbf{m}$ *is locally finite. Then the following holds:*

- *the minimal upper and lower relaxations agree.*
- *For any Lebesgue null set* $N \subset \mathbb{R}$ *it holds* $|Df|_{*,p} = 0$ *on* $\mathbf{m}$*-a.e. on* $f^{-1}(N)$.
- $|Df|_{*,p} = |Dg|_{*,p}$ $\mathbf{m}$*-a.e. on* $\{f = g\}$.
- *For any Lipschitz function* $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *it holds* $|D\varphi(f)|_{*,p} = |\varphi'(f)||Df|_{*,p}$ $\mathbf{m}$*-a.e.*

Proof. With minor adjustments the proofs of [AGS14a, Lemma 4.4 and 4.8] still work if f is assumed to be bounded. For equality in the last case also see [Gig14, Equation (2.1.10)]. Setting $f_N = \min\{N, \max\{-N, f\}\}$ one may verify $|Df_N|_{*,p} \leq |Df|_{*,p}$. Lower semicontinuity implies $|Df_N|_{*,p} \to |Df|_{*,p}$ in L^p which yields the claims. □

Corollary 3.3. *Assume* $\mathbf{m}$ *is locally finite. For every* $f \in L^p(\mathbf{m})$ *admitting a relaxed slope there is sequence* $f_n \to f$ *in* $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ *such that* $\mathrm{lip}\, f_n \to |Df|_{*,p}$ *in* $L^p(\mathbf{m})$.

Remark. As the relaxed slope obtained by L^p-approximations is a priori larger then the one obtained by L^0-approximation, the statement is equivalent to showing that the two notions agree. This was already pointed out in [AGS13, Remark 4.4].

Proof. Denote by $|D^*f|_{*,p}$ the relaxed slope obtain by L^p-approximation and assume $|Df|_{*,p} < |D^*f|_{*,p}$ on a set A of positive measure. W.l.o.g. assume A is bounded.

Let $f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ with $\mathrm{lip}\, f_n \to |Df|_{*,p}$. By Egorov's Theorem for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an A_ϵ such that $\mathbf{m}(A \backslash A_\epsilon) < \epsilon$, and $f_n \to f$ and $\mathrm{lip}\, f_n \to |Df|_{*,p}$ uniformly on A_ϵ and each of the function is uniformly bounded by C on A_ϵ.

Using the MacShane's extension theorem we obtain a sequence (g_n) such that $(g_n)_{|A}$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constants bounded by C. Using a cut-off we can assume $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of Lipschitz functions with bounded Lipschitz constants such that a neighborhood of their support has finite measure. In particular, $(\mathrm{lip}\, g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. So by reflexivity and Arzela-Ascoli we can assume $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ uniformly to some $g \in \mathrm{Lip}(M,d)$ with $g_{|A} = f_{|A}$ and $(\mathrm{lip}\, g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to some $G \in L^p(\mathbf{m})$. But then $|D^*g|_{*,p} \leq G$ on A as uniform convergence implies L^p-convergence. Also note that $(G)_{|A} = (|Df|_{*,p})_{|A}$However,

$$\begin{aligned}(|D^*f|_{*,p})_{|A} &= (|D^*g|_{*,p})_{|A} \\ &\leq G_{|A} \\ &= (|Df|_{*,p})_{|A}\end{aligned}$$

contradicting our assumption. □

Lipschitz and Sobolev modules. We call the module generated by $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}}$ the L^p-*Lipschitz module* and denote it by $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$. As $\mathcal{F}_p : f \mapsto |Df|_{*,p}$ is the lower relaxation of $\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}}$ it also generates a $L^p(T^*M)$ which we call L^p-*cotangent module*.

In both cases the set of generator $D(\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}})$ and $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ have a uniquely defined objects in those spaces. We call those objects differentials as they agree with the usual notion of a differential when M is a smooth Riemannian/Finsler manifold.

Definition (Differentials)**.** The *(L^p-)Lipschitz differential* of a Lipschitz function $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}})$ is defined as

$$d_{\mathrm{lip}} f = d_{\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}}} f \in L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M).$$

If $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ then the L^p-*differential* (sometimes L^p-Sobolev differential) is defined as

$$d_p f = d_{\mathcal{F}_p} f \in L^p(T^*M).$$

Remark. The differential d_{lip} does not really depend on p as it is uniquely defined only depending on the local Lipschitz constant which needs to be in L^p. The L^p-differential, however, depends in general on p (see [DS15]).

The following is a result of the calculus of the relaxed slope. We refer to [Gig14] as we don't need those properties in the course of this paper.

Lemma 3.4 (L^p-calculus [Gig14, Theorem 2.2.6])**.** *The operator d_p satisfies the following:*

- (Leibniz rule) *For any $f, g \in D(\mathcal{F}_p) \cap L^\infty(\mathbf{m})$ it holds*
$$d_p(fg) = f \cdot d_p g + g \cdot d_p f \qquad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost everywhere.}$$
- (Chain rule) *For any Lebesgue null set $N \subset \mathbb{R}$ and any $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$*
$$d_p f = 0 \qquad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost everywhere on } f^{-1}(N)$$
and any open $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{m}(f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\setminus I)) = 0$ and any Lipschitz function $\varphi : I \to \mathbb{R}$ it holds
$$d_p(\varphi \circ f) = \varphi'(f) \cdot d_p f \qquad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost everywhere.}$$
- (Locality) *For any $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ it hold*
$$d_p f = d_p g \qquad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost everywhere on } \{f = g\}.$$

We have the following which is just Lemma 2.9 in terms of the cotangent module.

Proposition 3.5 (Closedness of d_p)**.** *Assume $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ is converging almost everywhere to a measurable function $f \in L^0(\mathbf{m})$. If $d_p f_n \to \omega \in L^p(T^*M)$ then $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$ and $d_p f = \omega$.*

Because d_p is a closed operator we can define a Sobolev space via the graph norm of d_p.

Definition 3.6 (Sobolev space)**.** The Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(M, \mathbf{m})$ is defined as the set of L^p-functions with $f \in D(\mathcal{F}_p)$. The norm of $W^{1,p}(M, \mathbf{m})$ is given by

$$\|f\|^p_{W^{1,p}} = \|f\|^p_{L^p} + \|d_p f\|^p_{L^p(T^*M)}.$$

By the previous preposition $W^{1,p}(M, \mathbf{m})$ is a Banach space.

The operator d_{lip} is in general not closed as an operator from L^0 to $L^p(T^*M)$. Nevertheless, one might ask whether it is closable. From the above it is clear that d_{lip} is closable if $\operatorname{lip} f = |Df|_{*,p}$ for all Lipschitz functions in $D(\mathcal{F}_p)$. In this case $D(\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}})$ also generates $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p}$. If Lipschitz functions are dense in $W^{1,p}$ then by Lemma 1.6 and its corollary we have $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}}}$. However, it is not clear if closability of d_{lip} would imply lower semicontinuity of $f \mapsto \int (\operatorname{lip} f)^p d\mathbf{m}$ on $D(\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}})$.

In case the L^p-Lipschitz module is weakly reflexive one can show that closability of d_{lip} is equivalent to lower semicontinuity.

Theorem 3.7 ($\mathrm{Lipschitz}_0$ module)**.** *Assume $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ is weakly reflexive. Let $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ be the set generated by $\omega \in L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ such that there is a sequence $f_n \in \mathrm{Lip}(M,d)$ with $f_n \to 0$ and $d_{\mathrm{lip}} f_n \to \omega$ strongly in $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$. Then $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ is a submodule of $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ such that*

$$L^p(T^*M) \cong L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M) \Big/ L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M) \ .$$

In addition, d_{lip} is closable as an operator from $L^0 \to L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ iff $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ is trivial in which case $L^p(T^*M) \cong L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ and $|Df|_{*,p} = \operatorname{lip} f$ for all $f \in \mathrm{Lip}(M,d) \cap L^p(T^*M)$.

Proof. See Theorem 2.15. □

Corollary 3.8. *If $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ is weakly reflexive then $W^{1,p}(M,\mathbf{m})$ and $L^p(T^*M)$ are reflexive.*

Proof. This could be deduced from Lemma 2.18 since the lower and upper relaxations of the local Lipschitz constant agree. We give a separate argument for $W^{1,p}(M,\mathbf{m})$. Define the pseudo Sobolev space $W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{lip}}(M,\mathbf{m})$ as the closure of all L^p-integrable Lipschitz functions in $D(\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}})$ where the norm (on $D(\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}})$) is given by

$$\|f\|^p_{W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{lip}}} = \|f\|^p_{L^p} + \|d_{\mathrm{lip}} f\|^p_{L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)}.$$

This space agrees with a certain Sobolev space defined in [FHK99, Sch14].

In the following we identify $W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{lip}}(M,\mathbf{m})$ as a closed convex subset of $L^p(\mathbf{m}) \times L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ equipped with the L^p-product norm and to keep the notation simple set $\mathcal{V} = W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{lip}}(M,\mathbf{m})$.

The closed subspace measures the lack closability of d_{lip} at the origin is the following:

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \{v \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{lip}} \mid \exists f_n \in D(\mathcal{F}_p^{\mathrm{lip}}) \cap L^p(\mathbf{m}) : f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} 0, f_n \xrightarrow{W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{lip}}} v\}.$$

As a subspace of $L^p(\mathbf{m}) \times L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ this translate to $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{0\} \times \mathcal{G}_0$.

We claim that $\mathcal{V}$ and thus $\mathcal{V}_0$ are reflexive: If $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{V}$ then there are Lipschitz functions $f_{n,m} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $f_{n,m} \to v_n$. In particular, choosing a diagonal sequence we obtain a sequence $(f_{n,m_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{V}$ of Lipschitz functions such that $f_{n,m_n} \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $\mathcal{V}$ iff $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $\mathcal{V}$. Weak reflexivity of $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ and reflexivity of $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ show that there are $f \in L^p(\mathbf{m})$ and $\omega \in L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ such that $(f_{n',m_{n'}}, d_{\mathrm{lip}} f_{n',m_{n'}}) \rightharpoonup (f,\omega)$. But then there is a $v \in \mathcal{V}$ represented by (f,ω) with $f_{n',m_{n'}} \rightharpoonup v$ proving the claim.

As $|Df|_{*,p}$ is also an upper relaxation, the proof of Theorem 2.15 shows

$$\|d_p f\|_{L^p(T^*M)} = \inf_{\omega\in\mathcal{G}_0} \|d_{\mathrm{lip}} f + \omega\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)}$$

for all Lipschitz functions $f \in W^{1,p}(M,\mathbf{m})$. But then

$$W^{1,p}(M,\mathbf{m}) \cong \mathcal{V} \Big/ \mathcal{V}_0$$

which shows reflexivity of $W^{1,p}(M,\mathbf{m})$. □

It might well happen that the L^p-Lipschitz cotangent module is not weakly reflexive but d_{lip} closable. By [ACD12, Corollary 7.5] metrically doubling space have (super)reflexive Sobolev spaces. In such a case it is not clear whether the modules agree and if the identification can be given as above. Nevertheless, if there are no non-trivial upper relaxations we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.9. *Assume* $\mathbf{m}$ *is finite on bounded sets. If* 0 *admits no non-trivial strong upper relaxation of the local Lipschitz constant at* 0 *then* $f \mapsto \int (\mathrm{lip}\, f)^p d\mathbf{m}$ *is lower semicontinuous on* $D(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{lip}}_p)$, d_{lip} *is closable and the* L^p*-Lipschitz module is a submodule of the* L^p*-cotangent module. If Lipschitz differentials are dense in the set of Sobolev differentials then the two modules agree.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [ACD12, Theorem 8.4]. Assume $f_n \to f$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ with $f_n, f \in D(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{lip}}_p)$ and $(\mathrm{lip}\, f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. By choosing a subsequence we may assume

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int (\mathrm{lip}\, f_n)^p d\mathbf{m} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int (\mathrm{lip}\, f_n)^p d\mathbf{m}.$$

Because $\mathrm{lip}(f_n - f)$ is also bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ we may further replace $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by one of its subsequence and assume $\mathrm{lip}(f - f_n) \rightharpoonup G$ weakly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$. Then Lemma 2.5 together with triviality of strong upper gradients at 0 shows there is a sequence $h_n \in D(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{lip}}_p)$ of finite convex combinations of $\{f_k\}_{k\geq n}$ with $(f - h_n) \to 0$ in $L^0(\mathbf{m})$ and $(\mathrm{lip}(f - h_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly in $L^p(\mathbf{m})$ to some strong upper relaxation $G' \leq G$ at 0. But then $G' = 0$.

Observe that subadditivity of $f \mapsto \mathrm{lip}\, f$ implies

$$\int (\mathrm{lip}\, h_n)^p d\mathbf{m} \leq \sup_{k\geq n} \int (\mathrm{lip}\, f_n)^p d\mathbf{m}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int (\mathrm{lip}\, f)^p d\mathbf{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \liminf_{n\to\mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left(\int (\mathrm{lip}\, h_n)^p d\mathbf{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + ((\mathrm{lip}(f - h_n)^p d\mathbf{m})^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \\
&\leq \limsup_{n\to\mathbb{N}} \left(\int (\mathrm{lip}\, f_n)^p d\mathbf{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \lim_{n\to\infty} ((\mathrm{lip}(f - h_n)^p d\mathbf{m})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \lim_{n\to\mathbb{N}} \left(\int (\mathrm{lip}\, f_n)^p d\mathbf{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the upper relaxation of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{lip}}_p : f \mapsto \mathrm{lip}\, f$ is trivial on $D(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{lip}}_p)$ and Lemma 1.6 and its corollary imply the theorem. □

One can show that a lack of closability implies that there are non-trivial strong upper relaxations at 0. However, whether closability implies absence of non-trivial upper relaxations is not clear.

Question 3.10. *Is there (compact/doubling) metric measure space such that* d_{lip} *is closable but* $f \mapsto \int (\operatorname{lip} f)^p d\mathbf{m}$ *not lower semicontinuous?*

Furthermore, one may ask if the lack of lower semicontinuity implies that certain trivialities w.r.t. to Sobolev and Lipschitz functions.

Question. *Does* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M) \neq \{0\}$ *imply that there is a non-trivial Lipschitz function with* $d_p f = 0$*? Vice versa, assume whenever* $f \in \mathrm{Lip}(M,d)$ *and* $d_p f = 0$ *then* $f \equiv \mathsf{const}$*. Does this imply that* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ *is trivial?*

The known (non-product) examples show that the space has either trivial L^p-structure or the trivial $\mathrm{Lipschitz}_0$-module. An example of a space between these two extremes might help to answer that question.

Lipschitz differential structure and Cheeger differentials. In this section we combine the abstract theory above with the theory of metric spaces admitting a Lipschitz differentiable structure. It turns out that that theory fits nicely into the abstract structure of the previous section. For further reference see[Che99, Kei04, Bat14].

The following can be deduced from the fact that $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ is generated by Lipschitz functions.

Lemma 3.11. *There is a Borel partition* $\{A_\infty\} \cup \{A_{i,n}\}_{i,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of* M *such that for each* $i,n \in \mathbb{N}$ *there are Lipschitz functions* $\{f_1,\dots,f_n\}$ *that their Lipschitz differentials generate* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*A_{i,n})$*. In particular, we can assume* $A_\infty = E_\infty$ *and* $A_{i,n} \subset E_n$ *where* E_n *is given by the dimensional decomposition of* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$*.*

By further partitioning $A_{i,n}$ we can assume each $A_{i,n}$ is a bounded and each element f_i of the basis has bounded support. In particular, $d_{\mathrm{lip}} f_i \in L^p(T^*M)$ for all $p \in (1,\infty)$ if $\mathbf{m}(\operatorname{supp} f_i) < \infty$.

Corollary 3.12. *Assume* $\mathbf{m}$ *is finite on bounded subset. Then the dimensional decomposition above is valid independent of* $p \in (1,\infty)$*. In addition,* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ *is locally finite dimensional (bounded by* N*) for some* $p \in (1,\infty)$ *iff it is locally finite dimensional (bounded by* N*) for all* $p \in (1,\infty)$*.*

Those results resemble exactly what is known "in case $p = \infty$": The assignment $f \mapsto \operatorname{lip} f(x)$ is a semi-norm for all $x \in M$. A finite set $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of Lipschitz functions is said to be independent on a set A if for $\mathbf{m}$-almost all $x \in A$ the assignment $f \mapsto \operatorname{lip} f(x)$ is a norm when restricted to $\operatorname{span}\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

Definition 3.13 (Differentiability space)**.** A metric measure space $(M,d,\mathbf{m})$ is called a (Lipschitz) differentiability space if there is a uniform bound N on the maximal number of Lipschitz functions which can be independent on a set of positive measure.

By a cut-off argument the decomposition is local so that we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.14. *A metric measure space which assigns finite measure to each bounded set is a differentiability space with constant* $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *iff* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ *is locally finite dimensional bounded the same constant* N *for some (and thus all)* $p \in (1,\infty)$*.*

Choose a basis $\{f_1^{i,n}, \dots, f_n^{i,n}\}$ of Lipschitz functions on each $A_{i,n}$ such that for each Lipschitz map f there is a linear map $f \mapsto \alpha^j_{f,A_{i,n}} \in L^\infty(A_{i,n})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ with $d_{\mathrm{lip}} f = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j_{f,A_{i,n}} d_{\mathrm{lip}} f_j^{i,n}$. The definition implies for $\mathbf{m}$-almost all $x_0 \in A_{i,n}$

$$\mathrm{lip}(f - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j_{j,A_{i,n}}(x_0) f_j^{i,n})(x_0) = 0$$

or equivalently

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j_{j,A_{i,n}}(x_0)(f_j^{i,n}(x) - f_j^{i,n}(x_0)) + o(d(x, x_0)).$$

One may verify that $\mathrm{lip}\, f(x_0) = \mathrm{lip}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha^j_{j,A_{i,n}}(x_0)(f_j^{i,n}(\cdot) - f_j^{i,n}(x_0))\right)(x_0)$.

We call the operator

$$D : f \mapsto \sum_{i,n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_{A_{i,n}} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{f,A_{i,n}} \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}^n.$$

the *Cheeger differential* of f (w.r.t. the structure induced by $\{A_{i,n}\}$ and $\{f_j^{i,n}\}$). Note that this is just a form of the representation theorem (Theorem 1.5) w.r.t. the chosen basis element.

Remark. The Lipschitz differentiable structure only depends on the measure class of $\mathbf{m}$, i.e. if $\mathbf{m}'$ is a measure with $\mathbf{m}' = f\mathbf{m}$ and $f(x) \in (0, \infty)$ $\mathbf{m}$-almost every then $(M, d, \mathbf{m}')$ is Lipschitz differentiable as well. This follows from the fact that $\mathbf{m}(M \setminus \cup_{N>0} \Omega_N) = 0$ where $\Omega_N = \{x \in M \mid f(x) \in (N^{-1}, N)\}$.

Using the characterization theorem we get a more precise description of the modules and their dimensions.

Definition 3.15 (Dimension)**.** The *L^p-Lipschitz dimension* $\dim_{\mathrm{lip}} A$ of a Borel set A is defined as

$$\dim_{\mathrm{lip}} A = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in A} \dim(L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M), x).$$

Similarly, define the *L^p-analytic dimension* $\dim_p A$ of A as the essential supremum of the L^p-cotangent module.

Remark. If $\mathbf{m}$ is finite on bounded sets then $\dim_{\mathrm{lip}}$ does not depend on p.

If the L^p-Lipschitz module is locally finite Theorem 1.5 yields.

Corollary 3.16. *If the L^p-Lipschitz module is locally finite dimensional (bounded by N) then $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ is reflexive and the above theorem applies. Furthermore,*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{lip}}(M, x) = \dim_p(M, x) - \dim(L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M), x) \qquad \mathbf{m}\text{-almost everwhere.}$$

In particular, $L^p(T^*M)$ is locally finite dimensional (bounded by N), and $L^p(T^*M)$ and $W^{1,p}(M, \mathbf{m})$ are reflexive. Furthermore, d_{lip} is closable iff $\dim_{\mathrm{lip}}(M, x) = \dim_p(M, x)$ for $\mathbf{m}$-almost all $x \in M$ and both is equivalent to lower semicontinuity of $f \mapsto \frac{1}{p}\int (\mathrm{lip}\, f)^p d\mathbf{m}$.

Remark. The fact that a L^p-Lipschitz cotangent module, which is locally finite dimensional bounded by N, is weakly reflexive was already observed by Schioppa [Sch14, Theorem 4.16].

Proof. Since any closed submodule of a locally finite dimensional $L^p(\mathbf{m})$-normed module is also locally finite dimensional with the same bound, the only thing to be proved is the dimension formula. For this assume E is a set where all dimensions are constant. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*E) &\cong L^p(E, \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, |\cdot|) \\ L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*E) &\cong L^p(E, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, |\cdot|) \\ L^p(T^*E) &\cong L^p(E, \mathbb{R}^{n_3}, |\cdot|'). \end{aligned}$$

The theorem implies

$$L^p(E, \mathbb{R}^{n_3}, |\cdot|') \cong L^p(E, \mathbb{R}^{n_1}, |\cdot|) \Big/ L^p(E, \mathbb{R}^{n_2}, |\cdot|) .$$

But then

$$(\mathbb{R}^{n_3}, |\cdot|'_x) = (\mathbb{R}^{n_1}, |\cdot|_x) \Big/ (\mathbb{R}^{n_2}, |\cdot|_x)$$

which implies $n_3 = n_1 - n_2$. □

Rigidity theorems of differentiability spaces. We now state a result which was proven by [ACP10, AM14] (see also [Bat14, Remark 6.11]). Namely Lipschitz differentiable measures in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are very rigid. We state their result in the language of differentiability spaces and give a short argument.

Proposition 3.17 (Rigidity Theorem I)**.** *If $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{\mathrm{Euclid}}, \mathbf{m})$ is a differentiability space such then $\mathbf{m}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. By [Kei04] one may choose as chart functions on $A_{i,k}$ the distance functions $\{d_j\}_{j=1}^k$ with $d_j(x) = \|x - x_j\|$ for some points $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ such that $\mathbf{m}(\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}) = 0$. As each d_j is differentiable in the usual sense away from $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ we may take $\{-d_j^2/2\}_{j=1}^k$ instead. The definition of Lipschitz differentiability then implies

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^k (Df(x))_i \langle h, x - x_i\rangle + o(\|h\|).$$

But then

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x+th) - f(x)}{t} = \sum_{i=1}^n (Df(x))_i \langle h, x - x_i\rangle$$

which means f is directionally differentiable $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere.

Let $\mathbf{m} = f\mathcal{L}^n + \mathbf{m}^s$ be the Lebesgue decomposition of $\mathbf{m}$. By [AM14, Theorem 1.1(ii)] there are Lipschitz functions which are not directionally differentiable $\mathbf{m}^s$-almost everywhere, i.e. there is a Lipschitz function f such that for $\mathbf{m}^s$-almost everywhere $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there are $h_x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\liminf_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x+th) - f(x)}{t} < \limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x+th) - f(x)}{t}$$

but this can only happen if $\mathbf{m}^s = 0$. □

The rigidity theorem can be strengthened as follows.

Proposition 3.18 (Rigidity Theorem II)**.** *If $(A, d_{\mathrm{Euclid}}, \mathbf{m})$ with $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a differentiability space with Lipschitz dimension equal to n then $\mathbf{m}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, $\mathbf{m}$ is supported on an n-recitifiable subset.*

Remark. Without the Lipschitz dimension being n the result is wrong. Examples of constant dimension are given by Nash embeddings of Riemannian manifolds. Being isometrically embedded in terms of Riemannian manifolds shows that the extrinsic metric of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is (local) bi-Lipschitz. An example with different dimensions is given by

$$X = \{(x, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, x \leq 0, \|\mathbf{y}\| \leq |x| \text{ or } x \geq 0, y = 0\}$$

with metric induced by $\mathbb{R}^n$ and corresponding Lebesgue measure on either side. Both examples are intrinsically differentiability spaces, but as $\mathbf{m} \not\ll \mathcal{L}^n$ this is not true extrinsically.

Proof. Just decompose $\mathbf{m}$ into a sum of k-rectifiable measures $\mathbf{m}^k$ and a purely unrectifiable measure $\mathbf{m}^s$ (see [AM14, Section 2]). A k-rectifiable measure $\mathbf{m}^k$ has necessarily Lipschitz dimension equal to k. Note all but $\mathbf{m}^0$ are atomless.

By [AM14, Theorem 1.1(ii)] there is a Lipschitz function f such that at $\mathbf{m}^s$-almost every point $x \in M$, f is not directionally differentiable in any direction. However, the existence of Alberti representation [Bat14, Theorem 7.8] implies that for $\mathbf{m}$-almost every $x \in M$ there is a direction $h_x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f is directionally differentiable at x in direction h_x [Bat14, Definition 2.11, Corollary 2.13]. But this means $\mathbf{m}^s = 0$. □

Recall that subset $A \subset M$ is n-rectifiable if there is a bi-Lipschitz map f onto a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, a metric measure space is (countably) $\mathbf{m}$-rectifiable if there exists a partition $\{B_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \cup \{B_\infty\}$ of M with $\mathbf{m}(B_\infty) = 0$ and each B_i is n_i-rectifiable for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.19. *Assume $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is a differentiability space and $A_{i,n}$ is as above. If $A_{i,n}$ is n-recitifiable then $\mathbf{m}_{|A_{i,n}}$ absolutely continuous w.r.t. the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure of $A_{i,n}$.*

Proof. Let $\mu = f_*\mathbf{m}_{|A_{i,n}}$ where $f : A_{i,n} \to f(A_{i,n}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bi-Lipschitz. Then μ has to be absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ or by bi-Lipschitzness equivalently it has to be absolutely continuous w.r.t. n-dimensional Hausdorff measure $(\mathcal{H}^n_{\tilde{d}})_{|f(A_{i,n})}$ induced by push forward metric $\tilde{d}$ given by

$$\tilde{d}(x, y) = d(f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y)) \qquad \text{on } f(A_{i,n}).$$

But then $\mathbf{m}_{|A_{i,n}} \ll \mathcal{H}^n_d$ where $\mathcal{H}^n_d$ is the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure on (M, d). □

Corollary 3.20. *Assume $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is Lipschitz differentiable such that each $A_{i,n}$ is n-recitifiable. Then $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is $\mathbf{m}$-rectifiable and $\mathbf{m} = \sum_{i,n} \varphi_{i,n}\mathcal{H}^n_{A_{i,n}}$ for some measurable functions $\varphi_{i,n} : M \to [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi_{i,n}(x) = 0$ for $x \notin A_{i,n}$. If $\mathbf{m}$ is doubling then $\mathbf{m} = \sum_{i,n} \varphi_{i,n}\mathcal{H}^n$ where $\mathcal{H}^n$ is the Hausdorff measure on (M, d).*

Remark. By [MN14] the above applies to $RCD(K, N)$-spaces. As the theory of $RCD(K, N)$-spaces is a "weighted" theory it is not true that $\varphi_{i,n} \equiv \mathsf{const}$, not even in the smooth setting.

The result above shows that metric spaces equipped with a doubling measure are in general not differentiability spaces and the Lipschitz modules are not locally finite dimensional bounded. Furthermore, the L^p-cotangent module might be locally finite dimensional without $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ being anywhere a differentiability space. A

trivial construction is as follows: Let M be a differentiability space with trivial L^p-cotangent module, i.e. $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M) = L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$: Let $([0,1], 2^{-i}d_{\mathrm{Euclid}}, \mathbf{m}_i)$ where $\mathbf{m}_i = 2^{-i}w\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ where w is the DiMarino-Speight $A_{p'}$-weights on $[0,1]$ with $p < p'$ (see [DS15]). Then the L^p-product of those spaces is not a differentiability spaces in the sense above but its L^p-structure is trivial. However, the product measure is not doubling. As metrically doubling have reflexive Sobolev spaces [ACD12] we can ask the following.

Question. *Is there a metric measure space $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ with $\mathbf{m}$ being (locally) doubling that is not a differentiability space but whose L^p-cotangent module is non-trivial and locally finite dimensional bounded by some $N \in \mathbb{N}$?*

The following is the central result of Cheeger's paper on a generalized Rademacher Theorem ([Che99], see also [FHK99, Theorem 10]). We state it in the context of the theory developed in this paper. We refer the reader to the corresponding papers for exact definitions. Compare the result also to [Gig14, Corollary 2.5.2].

Theorem 3.21. *Assume $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is a metric measure space satisfying locally uniformly doubling and $(1,p)$-Poincaré conditions. Then $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is a differentiability spaces, d_{lip} is closable, and the L^p-Lipschitz cotangent and L^p-cotangent modules agree.*

Corollary 3.22. *If, in addition, (M, d) is bi-Lipschitz to $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{\mathrm{Euclid}})$ then $\mathbf{m}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the (pulled-back) Lebesgue measure.*

Remark. This can be rephrased as saying if $(\mathbb{R}^n, d_{\mathrm{Euclid}}, \mathbf{m})$ satisfies the doubling and a $(1,p)$-Poincaé condition then $\mathbf{m}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure.

Cheeger differential structure and infinitesimally Hilbertian spaces. On PI_p-spaces, i.e. those satisfying a doubling and $(1,p)$-Poincaré condition, one frequently "renorms" the L^p-cotangent module to obtain a (pointwise) inner product $(f,g) \mapsto Df \cdot Dg$ with Df the Cheeger differential of f. This structure is then called Cheeger differential structure. The choice of scalar product is, however, highly non-unique. Using Theorem 1.5 one may choose the John or Binet-Legendre scalar product given by Theorem A.1 to obtain unique scalar product so that Df only depends on the charts and basis elements.

For a certain class of spaces the renorming is actually trivially and thus not necessary: Recall that a space is called *infinitesimally Hilbertian* (see [AGS14b, Gig15]) if $E\mathcal{F}_2$ is a quadratic form and thus a strongly local, closed and Markovian Dirichlet form. Then one can show that it is equivalent to $f \mapsto |Df|^2_{*,2}$ being a quadratic form $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere. But then the L^2-cotangent module is a Hilbert module and therefore reflexive. More generally we may say that the space is *infinitesimally Riemannian (w.r.t. $\mathbf{m}$)* if $f \mapsto (\operatorname{lip} f)^2$ is quadratic $\mathbf{m}$-almost everywhere.

Remark. The terminology infinitesimally Hilbertian stems from the fact the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(M, \mathbf{m})$ is a Hilbert space iff the space is infinitesimally Hilbertian. We choose infinitesimally Riemannian as it only relates to Lipschitz functions and thus to the metric d itself. This class includes sub-Riemannian manifolds, like the class of Heisenberg groups with Carnot-Caratheodory metric induced by an inner product on the horizontal bundle.

The characterization of the Lipschitz and cotangent modules via Theorem 3.7 also shows.

Proposition 3.23. *Assume $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is infinitesimally Riemannian and $\mathbf{m}$ is finite on bounded sets. Then $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is infinitesimally Hilbertian and $L^p(T^*M)$ is reflexive for $p \in (1, \infty)$.*

Remark. One can also show p-infinitesimal strict convexity (see [Gig15]) as the dual of $L^p(T^*M)$ also has pointwise norm induced a scalar product which makes its (pointwise) norm strictly convex.

Proof. If $x \mapsto |\cdot|^2$ is quadratic then $x \mapsto |\cdot|$ is 2-uniformly convex and 2-uniformly smooth. Thus $x \mapsto |\cdot|$ is p-uniformly convex for $p \geq 2$ and p-uniformly smooth if $p \leq 2$ with convexity and resp. smoothness constants only depending on p. But then

$$f \mapsto \|\chi_A d_{\mathrm{lip}} f\|_{L^p(T^*M)}$$

is p-uniformly convex/smooth with the same constant. In particular, $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ is (super)reflexive. As $L^p(T^*M)$ is a quotient space of a reflexive space it is itself reflexive.

In case $p = 2$ one sees that

$$v \mapsto \|v\|^2_{L^2_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)} = \int |v|^2 d\mathbf{m}$$

is quadratic and thus $L^2_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ a Hilbert module. But in Hilbert spaces quotient spaces are isometric to orthogonal subspaces, i.e.

$$L^2(T^*M) \cong \left(L^2_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)\right)^{\perp},$$

showing that $L^2(T^*M)$ is a Hilbert module and $E\mathcal{F}_2$ quadratic. □

If it is a priori known that the Lipschitz$_0$-module is trivial then the converse is true as well.

Corollary 3.24. *Assume $\mathbf{m}$ is bounded on finite subsets and $L^2_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ is trivial. Then $(M, d, \mathbf{m})$ is infinitesimally Riemannian iff it is infinitesimally Hilbertian. In either case the Sobolev spaces are reflexive.*

Example. (1) Every infinitesimally Hilbertian PI$_p$-space with $p \leq 2$ is infinitesimally Riemannian and its Cheeger differential structure gives a representation of the Sobolev differentials. If $p > 2$ the L^2-cotangent module might be trivial and thus trivially infinitesimally Hilbertian.

(2) By [GH14], on $RCD(K, \infty)$-space the relaxed slope/weak upper gradient is independent of p and $|Df|_{*,p} = \mathrm{lip}\, f$ for all $f \in D(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{lip}}_p)$. For those spaces the Lipschitz$_0$-modules are trivial but L^2-cotangent module might not be locally finite dimensional. Note that this result only needs the Bakry-Émery condition and is independent of the proof of a $(1, 1)$-Poincaré condition on $RCD(K, N)$-spaces.

Further results. To finish this section let's see what happens in the finite measure case. For this assume for simplicity that $\mathbf{m}$ is a probability measure. Using cut-off functions and the chain rule one can also show the results below for σ-finite measures.

Lemma 3.25. *Assume* $\mathbf{m}$ *is finite. Then non-triviality of* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ *implies that* $L^{p'}_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ *is non-trivial for all* $1 < p' \leq p$. *Or equivalently, closability of* d_{lip} *in* $L^{p'}_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ *implies closability of* d_{lip} *in* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ *for all* $p' \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. First note that $D(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{lip}}_p) = \mathrm{Lip}(M,d)$ for $p \in (1,\infty)$. From Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|d_{\mathrm{lip}} f\|_{L^{p'}_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)} &= \left(\int (\mathrm{lip}\, f)^{p'} d\mathbf{m})\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq \mathbf{m}(M)\left(\int (\mathrm{lip}\, f)^p d\mathbf{m})\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathbf{m}(M)\|d_{\mathrm{lip}} f\|_{L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)}.\end{aligned}$$

So if $(d_{\mathrm{lip}} f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ then it is also Cauchy in $L^{p'}_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$. Thus $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M) \subset L^{p'}_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ as the spaces are defined via completion, i.e. "space of Cauchy sequences". So assume $d_{\mathrm{lip}} f_n \to \omega \in L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ then also $d_{\mathrm{lip}} f_n \to \omega \in L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$. But if $|\omega| \neq 0$ on a set of positive $\mathbf{m}$-measure then $\omega \neq \mathbf{0} \in L^{p'}_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$. In particular, if d_{lip} is closable in $L^{p'}_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ then so in $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$. □

The result is a generalized version of what happens if a $(1,p')$-Poincaré inequality holds: In such a case, one can show that $|Df|_{*,p'} = \mathrm{lip}\, f$ so that d_{lip} is closable in all $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ with $p \in [p',\infty)$. Furthermore, it is known there is a $p_\infty \in (1,\infty)$ such that for $p > p_\infty$ the $(1,p)$-Poincaré condition holds but not the $(1,p_\infty)$-Poincaré condition (see [KZ08]). In this general setting we cannot prove this fact yet.

Question 3.26 (Open ended condition)**.** *Assume* $\mathbf{m}$ *is finite and* $L^p_{\mathrm{lip}}(T^*M)$ *weakly reflexive for all* $p \in (1,\infty)$. *Is* $L^{p_\infty}_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ *is non-trivial for the* p_∞ *given above?*

Even more general one may wonder whether the condition here is strictly weaker even on spaces with Poincaré condition.

Question 3.27. *Is there a metric space with a doubling measure satisfying a* $(1,p)$*-Poincaré condition such that for some* $p' \in (1,p)$ *the module* $L^{p'}_{\mathrm{lip}_0}(T^*M)$ *is trivial but the* $(1,p')$*-Poincaré condition does not hold?*

Appendix A. Selection of scalar products

Let $\mathsf{Norm}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of norms on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We can equip this space with the following intrinsic metric

$$d(F,F') = \sup_{v\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} \{\ln \frac{F(v)}{F'(v)}, \ln \frac{F'(v)}{F(v)}\}.$$

Indeed, $d(F,F') = d(F,F') \geq 0$ with equality iff $F(v) = F'(v)$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Choose $v \in \mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}$ then

$$\ln \frac{F(v)}{F'(v)} \leq \ln\left(\frac{F(v)}{F"(v)}\frac{F"(v)}{F'(v)}\right) \leq \ln \frac{F(v)}{F"(v)} + \ln \frac{F"(v)}{F'(v)}$$

which immediately yields the triangle inequality. The interested reader may verify that $(\mathsf{Norm}(\mathbb{R}^n), d)$ is a proper metric space and its topology agrees with the C^0-topology. In particular, the space is separable.

Remark. An equivalent characterization is via the constant of uniform equivalent: $d(F, F')$ is the infimum over all $C > 0$ such that $C^{-1}F \leq F' \leq FC$.

Every scalar product induces a norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfies pointwise the parallelogram equations. As d-convergence also implies pointwise convergence, the space of scalar products $\mathsf{Scalar}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be seen as a closed subspace in $\mathsf{Norm}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem A.1 (John ellipsoid)**.** *For any $F \in \mathsf{Norm}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a unique scalar product g_J^F such that for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$g_J^F(v, v) \leq F^2(v) \leq n g_J^F(v, v).$$

In particular, $F \mapsto g_J^F$ is continuous from the space of norm $\mathsf{Norm}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the space of scalar products $\mathsf{Scalar}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Existence is well-known (see). For continuity just observe that $(\mathsf{Norm}(\mathbb{R}^n), d)$ is proper and by the characterization $d(F, g_J^F) \leq \sqrt{n}$. Continuity then follows from uniqueness of the John ellipsoid. □

Alternatively one may use the Binet ellipsoid $g_{BL}^F$of the unit sphere w.r.t. F which induces a scalar product called the *Binet-Legendre scalar product*. The assignment satisfies $d(g_{BL}^F, g_{BL}^{F'}) \leq nd(F, F')$ and $d(F, g_{BL}) \leq \sqrt{n}(n + 2)$. We refer to [MT12] for more details on this construction and its use in Finsler geometry.

References

[ACD12] L. Ambrosio, M. Colombo, and S. Di Marino, *Sobolev spaces in metric measure spaces: reflexivity and lower semicontinuity of slope*, arxiv:1212.3779 (2012).

[ACP10] G. Alberti, M. Csörnyei, and D. Preiss, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions, structure of null sets, and other problems*, March 2010.

[AGS13] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré, *Density of Lipschitz functions and equivalence of weak gradients in metric measure spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **29** (2013), no. 3, 969–996.

[AGS14a] ———, *Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below*, Invent. Math. **195** (2014), no. 2, 289–391.

[AGS14b] ———, *Metric measure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvature bounded from below*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 7, 1405–1490.

[AM14] G. Alberti and A. Marchese, *On the differentiability of Lipschitz functions with respect to measures in the Euclidean space*, arxiv:1408.2379 (2014).

[Bat14] D. Bate, *Structure of measures in Lipschitz differentiability spaces*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **28** (2014), no. 2, 421–482.

[BB11] A. Björn and J. Björn, *Nonlinear Potential Theory on Metric Spaces*, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, Zuerich, Switzerland, November 2011.

[Che99] J. Cheeger, *Differentiability of Lipschitz Functions on Metric Measure Spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 3, 428–517.

[DS15] S. Di Marino and G. Speight, *The p-weak gradient depends on p*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **143** (2015), no. 12, 5239–5252.

[EKS14] M. Erbar, K. Kuwada, and K.-Th. Sturm, *On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochner's inequality on metric measure spaces*, Invent. Math. (2014).

[FHK99] B. Franchi, P. Hajłasz, and P. Koskela, *Definitions of Sobolev classes on metric spaces*, Annales de l'institut Fourier **49** (1999), no. 6, 1903–1924 (eng).

[GH14] N. Gigli and B. Han, *Independence on p of weak upper gradients on RCD spaces*, arxiv:1407.7350 (2014), 10.

[Gig14] N. Gigli, *Nonsmooth differential geometry - An approach tailored for spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below*, arxiv:1407.0809 (2014).

[Gig15] ———, *On the differential structure of metric measure spaces and applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **236** (2015), no. 1113.

[Haj96] P. Hajłasz, *Sobolev spaces on an arbitrary metric space*, Potential Analysis **5** (1996), no. 4, 403–415 (en).

[HK96] J. Heinonen and P. Koskela, *From local to global in quasiconformal structures.*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **93** (1996), no. 2, 554–6.

[HK98] ———, *Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry*, Acta Mathematica **181** (1998), no. 1, 1–61.

[HKST15] J. Heinonen, P. Koskela, N. Shanmugalingam, and J. T. Tyson, *Sobolev Spaces on Metric Measure Spaces: An Approach Based on Upper Gradients*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.

[JKO98] R. Jordan, D. Kinderlehrer, and F. Otto, *The Variational Formulation of the Fokker–Planck Equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **29** (1998), no. 1, 1–17.

[Kei04] S. Keith, *A differentiable structure for metric measure spaces*, Advances in Mathematics **183** (2004), no. 2, 271–315.

[KM97] P. Koskela and P. MacManus, *Quasiconformal mappings and Sobolev spaces*, Studia Mathematica **1** (1997), no. 131, 1–17 (en).

[KRS03] P. Koskela, K. Rajala, and N. Shanmugalingam, *Lipschitz continuity of Cheeger-harmonic functions in metric measure spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis **202** (2003), no. 1, 147–173.

[KZ08] S. Keith and X. Zhong, *The Poincaré Inequality Is an Open Ended Condition*, Annals of Mathematics **167** (2008), no. 2, 575–999.

[MN14] A. Mondino and A. Naber, *Structure Theory of Metric-Measure Spaces with Lower Ricci Curvature Bounds I*, arxiv:1405.2222 (2014), 34.

[MT12] VS Matveev and M Troyanov, *The Binet-Legendre metric in Finsler geometry*, Geometry & Topology **16** (2012), 2135–2170.

[Sch14] A. Schioppa, *On the relationship between derivations and measurable differentiable structures on metric measure spaces*, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae. ... (2014).

[vRS05] M.-K. von Renesse and K.-Th. Sturm, *Transport inequalities, gradient estimates, entropy and Ricci curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **58** (2005), no. 7, 923–940.

[Wea00] N. Weaver, *Lipschitz Algebras and Derivations II. Exterior Differentiation*, Journal of Functional Analysis **178** (2000), no. 1, 64–112.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
E-mail address: martin.kell@math.uni-tuebingen.de